



# University of Hawaii at Manoa

Environmental Center  
Crawford 317 • 2550 Campus Road  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822  
Telephone (808) 948-7361

RL:0788A

## HB 160 HD 1 RELATING TO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Statement for  
Senate Committee on  
Agriculture  
Public Hearing - March 16, 1989

By  
Jacquelin Miller, Environmental Center  
John Harrison, Environmental Center  
Peter Rappa, Sea Grant  
Ray Tabata, Sea Grant

HB 160 HD 1 proposes two amendments to HRS 343. The first would amend HRS 343-2 by adding to the definition of "action" to include those specific agency rules or plans which clearly direct activities with potential environmental impacts. The second amendment proposes to delete the need for environmental assessment under 343-5(a)(3) of proposed uses within the shoreline area as defined in Section 205A-41 and substitute or add, use within the special management area as defined in Section 205A-23.

Our statement on this bill does not represent an institutional position of the University of Hawaii.

The rationale for the first amendment stems from the need for better environmental planning of large scale agency action plans which have long term and far reaching environmental implications. An example of such a plan that has been repeatedly cited in recent months is the Ocean Recreation Management Plan.

We have no intention of promoting the need for assessment of all agency rules and plans as this would be ludicrous. However, assessment of those particular plans that will have major environmental implications would surely be an improvement over the present environmental planning process.

The amendment proposed for 343-5(a)(3) that would include the need for assessment of actions within the special management area is particularly appropriate. We should add that this amendment also reflects one of the

recommendations provided in the review of HRS 343-5 in response to House Concurrent Resolution 267 of the 1987 State Legislature.

I would like to quote the following from the March 1988 report, to HCR 267, page 11, section 3.

Existing county statutes for Oahu and Hawaii require an environmental assessment procedure for actions in their respective Special Management Areas. Kauai and Maui also have similar statutes. Much confusion exists among developers, the general public, and even various agencies as a result of the dual state and county system for environmental assessment. In the case of Oahu and Hawaii counties, the environmental assessment and environmental impact statement are prepared in accordance with guidelines set up by the County but with reference to Chapter 343. However, assessment procedures vary and there is a lack of consistency in acceptable content, format, evaluation and assessment decisions between the state and county.

We believe that consolidation of the assessment process under a single statutory framework would greatly simplify and facilitate assessment procedures. Proposers of actions would have a uniform consistent process to work with, both with regard to the preparation of assessments and possible impact statement documents, as well as consistency in the subsequent review and evaluation practices.

Counties would benefit from the broader statewide reviews provided by Chapter 343 and we believe the final documents produced, be they Environmental Assessments or Environmental Impact Statements are likely to be substantively better documents for use by county or state agencies in their decision making rules.

It is important to emphasize that the Environmental Assessment, Environmental Impact Statement system under HRS 343 is an informational gathering system to assure that environmental as well as economic and social concerns are adequately identified so that wise land use and management decisions can be made. It is not a regulatory system and therefore inclusion of the Shoreline Management Area under Chapter 343 would not preclude the counties from any regulatory controls. Nor would it preclude the counties from requiring additional information specific to county needs.

We strongly concur with this amendment to HB 160.